

From: <REDACTED>
To: [communications](#)
Cc: [Weslie Gould](#)
Subject: Public Comments - July 20, 2022
Date: Friday, July 22, 2022 6:58:35 AM

Mayor & Council - the following are my comments regarding the July 20th Council meeting:

Watching from the sidelines, it's been a difficult week at Town Hall, as if some hidden force had invoked 'Murphy's Law'. Several things baffled members of the public watching and listening from the sidelines - but where to start?

- ❖ **The East Village project.** The handling of the public hearing and the unnecessary delays this has caused is sad to watch and this isn't the first time. Here we have a long awaited and exciting project in a derelict part of town, offering needed rental units, not being attended to in a timely manner. Other communities can do it, why can't Qualicum Beach? The residents deserve more. Another public hearing will just bring out the same small group of negative voices who don't seem to like or want anything to be built to meet future needs. Meanwhile, prices just keep rising. Is this good governance?
- ❖ Then, we have the old Council Remuneration chestnut resurfacing again. From the sidelines this seems to be being handled in a bit of a muddled fashion. If an assessment is to be done, it should be done by a qualified compensation expert not a group of untrained and unqualified amateurs who undoubtedly will bring biases and very likely have limited background knowledge on compensation criteria and needs. This will require Staff or even a consultant to get involved. Bad thinking.

Tim Pritchard
<REDACTED>

From: <REDACTED>
To: [communications](#)
Cc: [Weslie Gould](#)
Subject: Public Comments on the July 20th Council Meeting
Date: Friday, July 22, 2022 7:05:09 AM

Mayor & Council - the following are my comments regarding the July 20th Council meeting:

- ❖ Questions relating to DCC's continue to be raised and often cause confusion and concern on all sides. It looks like someone's dropped the ball here.

As part of the 2016 - 2018 OCP review process, a parallel DCC Select Review Committee was set up to bring a greater level of knowledge to the table on DCC's. The Committee met during 2017 and a consultant was retained to assist the process. A report was issued on part one and it was understood that the Committee would continue its work once the OCP was completed. But this never happened. Why not? Who dropped the ball? Maybe someone should pick-up the fumble before we start to reinvent the wheel all over again. Meanwhile, the part one report is probably still gathering dust somewhere on a shelf in Town Hall and no longer seems to be posted on the website.

Tim Pritchard
<REDACTED>

From: [Susan Lloyd](#)
To: [communications](#)
Subject: Regular Council Meeting on July 20, 2022 - Follow-up Comments
Date: Thursday, July 21, 2022 3:46:47 PM

Regarding the Zoning Amendment for 126, 130, 134, 144 and 148 Second Avenue East, I was at the meeting where the Mayor indicated that there were two main concerns about the Town's approach with the first public hearing which necessitates the Town holding a 2nd public hearing. One concern related to the multiple options presented and I thought the Mayor said that only one option was to be recommended and advertised for the 2nd public hearing. I was then surprised when Council passed a motion for two alternatives. This seems inconsistent with the advice the Town received as described in the meeting, but I leave it to the Town to ensure that proceeding in this way conforms to the advice.

The material provided to the public for the first public hearing was unclear in some respects and it seemed unusual that the public would be expected to comment on multiple alternatives as presented. If the Town is going to provide multiple alternatives for public comment at the 2nd public hearing, options that are different from the application (for 60 dwelling units), then the materials provided to the public should have clear written and visual information for each option, which I believe should include the amendments to be made to the amendment bylaw (e.g. CD19 zone provisions) that would result.

Finally, I note that the Town has now posted a notice of the hearing on August 8th and am pleased to see that it refers to the East Village. There is a typo in the formal notice in the date ("2021"). The number of dwelling units for each of the alternatives is not mentioned and, in my view, should be included. Generally, I feel that the Town's notices are pretty bare bones and additional details would be beneficial to the public.

Thank you.

Susan Lloyd
<REDACTED>